### Revisiting angular momentum and galaxy formation



# Aaron J. Romanowsky and S. Michael Fall (UCO; STScI)

ApJS, in press, <u>http://arXiv.org/abs/1207.4189</u>

# Fundamental physical parameters of galaxies





# Evolution in the *j*-*M* plane: DM to baryons

- 1) Gas decouples from DM: dissipative collapse  $M_{\rm gas} = f_{\rm b} M_{\rm vir}$  $j_{\text{qas}} = j_{\text{vir}}$
- 2) Stars form (in cold disks?):  $M_* = f_* f_b M_{\rm vir}$  $j_* = j_{\text{vir}}$



Comparing *j*<sub>\*</sub>–*M*<sub>\*</sub> observations to *ACDM* predictions provides direct constraints on  $(f_i / f_*^{2/3})$ 

# *j*<sub>\*</sub>-*M*<sub>\*</sub> observations: *ca.* 1983

Fall 1983 (data for Sb-Sc spirals: Rubin+1980 etc. for *centers* of ellipticals: Davies+1983)

Spirals:  $j_* \propto M_*^{3/4}$ 

→ matches slope + normalization for DM halos if:  $f_* f_b \sim 0.05, f_j \sim 1$ → (weak) *j* "conservation" !





 $j_{d} = 2 v_{rot} R_{d}$  $j_{E} = 2.5 v_{rot} R_{e}$ 

# *j*<sub>\*</sub>-*M*<sub>\*</sub> observations: *classic constraints on theory*

#### *j*∗-*M*∗ diagram:

- → simple collapse model explains observed *disk* scaling relations <sup>4</sup>
  - (Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Dalcanton+1997; Mo, Mao & White 1998)
- → benchmark for simulations
- (e.g. Navarro & Steinmetz 1997; Maller & Dekel 2002; Governato+2007; Guedes+2011)



# *j*<sub>\*</sub>-*M*<sub>\*</sub> observations: *classic constraints on theory*

ا ب

хрс

J/M (km/sec

#### *j*∗-*M*∗ diagram:

- → simple collapse model explains observed *disk* scaling relations
  - (Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Dalcanton+1997; Mo, Mao & White 1998)
- → benchmark for simulations
- (e.g. Navarro & Steinmetz 1997; Maller & Dekel 2002; Governato+2007; Guedes+2011)

#### What about E/S0s?

- → are the E "data" correct?
- $\rightarrow$  do Sa, S0s fill the gap?
- $\rightarrow$  does spread in *j*<sub>\*</sub> match
  - $\lambda$  spread for  $\Lambda$ CDM halos?
- $\rightarrow$  do we expect Es to conserve  $j_{vir}$ ?



# j in ellipticals: theory



Vitvitska+2002:

Mergers of DM halos cause j,  $\lambda$  spikes  $\rightarrow$  ellipticals expected to have *higher*  $\lambda_{vir}$ than spirals!

#### (but see:

Hetznecker & Burkert 2006; D'Onghia & Navarro 2007)

Major mergers of disk galaxies: *internal j*\* *transfer:* 

- $\rightarrow$  stars in outer regions spun up
- → observations…?

(e.g., Hernquist 1992, 1993; Bendo & Barnes 2000; Cretton+2001)

#### "Secure" measurements of *j*<sup>\*</sup> in elliptical galaxies



# Missing *j*<sup>\*</sup> in outer regions of ellipticals?



# New observational era of E/S0 halo kinematics

(e.g., Proctor+2009; Coccato+2009; Arnold+2011)



PN.S SMEAGOL



http://sluggs.ucolick.org

stars, planetary nebulae, globular clusters

Observed outer rotation generally const or *declining* 

→ little indication of major-merger spin-up! (revised story fr Arnaboldi+1996; Kissler-Patig & Gebhardt 1999, etc.)

# *j*<sub>\*</sub>-*M*<sub>\*</sub> observations: *ca.* 2012



→ Fundamental constraints for galaxy formation

# Trends in $j_*$ driven by disks and bulges?



NB: trends may be partially driven by simplified bulge modeling → photometric+kinematic bulge-disk decompositions needed

# Simple, physical framework for galaxies



All galaxies are combination of bulge+disk w/universal scaling relations?  $\rightarrow$  sizes of both bulges and disks set by  $j_*-M_*$  bimodality?  $\rightarrow$  galaxy morphology as manifestation of high- and low- $j_*$  material?



# Modelling the spiral-elliptical differences



Two extreme scenarios tested with mock data sets:  $\rightarrow$  spin bias: spirals/ellipticals formed in high/low- $\lambda$  halos  $\nearrow$  $\rightarrow$  variable  $f_j$ : different angular momentum retention

# A new galaxy bimodality



Simple unbiased model implies  $f_j \sim 0.6, 0.1$  for spirals, ellipticals

→ Need two modes of galaxy evolution with systematically different angular momentum retention

# Mechanisms for angular momentum bimodality?



(Danovich+2012; Kimm+2011; Dubois+2012; Vogelsberger+2012)

→ Need to understand why net result agrees with simple spherical collapse model, with little scatter

# Explaining different *j* retention



Evolution in  $j_*-M_*$  plane is not arbitrary, must involve processes respecting conservation laws



9

10

 $\log (M_*/M_{\odot})$ 

11

12

# Summary: *j*-*M* diagram revisited

- first compilation of all galaxy types
- extended kinematics data for E/S0s
- *j*-offset verified between spirals & Es
- universal trends for disks and bulges
- small scatter in *j*\*-*M*\* relations
- → fundamental constraints for galaxy formation
- disks match up well to  $\Lambda$ CDM halo spins with *j* (weakly) conserved
- Es low *j* not drawn from tail of halo  $\lambda$  distribution
  - $\rightarrow$  require bias in  $j_{gas}$ , or j loss