# The Nature of Star Formation in z ~ 2 Galaxy Disks

Mark Krumholz (UCSC)

**Collaborators:** 

Andi Burkert (USM), Avishai Dekel (HU), Mike Fall (STScI), John Forbes (UCSC), Chris Matzner (Toronto), Jonathan Tan (Florida)

# Outline

- Theory of gravitational instabilitydominated disks
- Formation of giant clumps and clusters
- Feedback in giant clumps

# Why Consider GI in High z Galaxy Disks?

- Observed velocity dispersions are σ ~
   50 km s<sup>-1</sup> (e.g. Cresci+ 2009), but SNe unable to drive σ >~ 20 km s<sup>-1</sup> (Joung & MacLow 2009)
- Turbulence no weaker in outer disks with no SF than in inner disks with SNe
- Accretion rates larger at z ~ 2 by a factor of ~100 ⇒ much larger contribution to energy budget

# Energy Balance in Q ~ 1 Disks



- Accretion onto disk edge raises surface density (Q \ )
- Radiative shocks reduce velocity dispersion (Q \ )
- Gravitational instability transports *j* out, mass in, and generates turbulent motion (Q 1)
- Gas turns into stars (almost no effect on Q)



Marginal stability equation determines  $\mathcal{T}$ , which in turn determines evolution of all other quantities

## **Steady State Disks**

- For powerlaw rotation curves, family of steady-state solutions exists
- Solution depends on gas fraction and accretion rate; for flat rotation

curve:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{3G\dot{M}_{\text{ext}}}{2f_g} \right)^{1/3}$$

• Velocity dispersion set by accretion rate and gas fraction only, and gas fraction relevant only if  $\sigma_* \approx \sigma_{gas}$ 

## **Non-Steady GI Disks**



Numerical result: disks out of steady state evolve into the steady state solution in ~1 viscous time

# **Evolution of Velocity Dispersions with z**



From typical accretion rate as a function of halo mass and z (Bouche+ 2009), we can compute  $v/\sigma$ 

#### **Evolution of Toomre Mass**



Toomre mass  $M_T = \sigma^4 / G^2 \Sigma \Rightarrow$  higher accretion rate at high z produces higher  $\sigma$ , higher  $M_T \Rightarrow$  giant clumps

### **Feedback in Giant Clumps**

(Krumholz & Dekel 2010)

- Supernovae insufficient to disrupt ~10<sup>9</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> clumps (Dekel+ 2009)
- Winds, ionized gas unable to produce  $\sigma$  ~ 20 km s^{-1} needed for virial balance
- Fraction of GC mass turned into stars determined by stellar radiation
   pressure (Krumholz & Matzner 2009; Murray, Quataert, & Thompson 2009; Fall, Krumholz, & Matzner 2010)

# When is Radiation Pressure Important?

(Krumholz & Matzner 2009)



Importance of RP in clusters in M82 (blue), Antennae (red), Orion (brown), Arches (green)

- RP force >> gas pressure force when
- $\zeta = 6.2 \times 10^{-2} n_2^{2/3} S_{49}^{2/3} \gg 1$
- RP-driven expansion stalls at radius  $r_{\rm st} = 8.9 n_2^{-1/2} S_{49}^{1/4}$  pc
- Ex. R136:  $n_2 \sim 10^3$ ,  $S_{49} \sim 10^2 \Rightarrow \zeta \sim 100$ ,  $r_{st} \sim 1 \text{ pc}$

# **Star Formation Efficiency from Radiation Pressure**

(Fall, Krumholz, & Matzner 2010)

- As SF proceeds and SFE rises, S<sub>49</sub> rises, n<sub>2</sub> drops, r<sub>st</sub> rises
- When r<sub>st</sub> > R<sub>cl</sub>, mass is ejected
- Result:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{E} &=& rac{\Sigma}{\Sigma+\Sigma_{
m crit}} \ \mathcal{E}_{
m crit} &pprox& rac{10\langle L/M_*
angle}{3\pi Gc} \end{array}$$



SFE vs.  $\Sigma$ , computed using RP feedback for the <L/M<sub>\*</sub>> value for a zero age stellar population

# **Old Stars, Young Stars**

- <L/M<sub>\*</sub>> ~ constant for ~3 Myr, then declines
- <L/SFR> ~ rises for ~3 Myr, then remains constant
- In a giant clump, t<sub>cr</sub>
  ~ 15 Myr ⇒
  <L/SFR> constant,
  <L/M\*> declining:
  old stars limit; not
  like modern SF



<L/SFR> and <L/M<sub>\*</sub>> vs. time since onset of SF (Krumholz & Tan 2007)

## Efficiency vs. SFR

(Krumholz & Dekel 2010)



*E* depends on
 <L/M<sub>\*</sub>> ∝
 SFR/M<sub>\*</sub> in old
 stars limit

 Low *E* and disruption likely only for large values of

 $\epsilon_{\rm ff} \equiv \frac{M_{*}}{M_{\rm gas}/t_{\rm ff}}$ 

### Measuring $\epsilon_{ff}$



Depletion time as a function of  $\Sigma_{H2}$  for 2 local galaxies (left, Wong & Blitz 2002) and as a function of L<sub>HCN</sub> for a sample of local and z ~ 2 galaxies (right, Gao & Solomon 2004, Gao et al. 2007)

## **Observed Value of** $\varepsilon_{ff}$



Clouds convert ε<sub>ff</sub> ~1% of their mass to stars per t<sub>ff</sub>, regardless of density or environment (Tan, Krumholz, & McKee 2006; Krumholz & Tan 2007; Evans et al. 2009)

### ε<sub>ff</sub> and the Kennicutt Law

#### (Krumholz, McKee, & Tumlinson 2009)



#### Implication for Giant Clumps



## Summary

- Disks likely dominated by GI over most of galaxy formation
- Velocity dispersion and clumping scale set by GI
- Resulting giant clumps at z ~ 2 are resistant to disruption unless SF at high z is unlike any in the local universe, and is off the Kennicutt law