Galaxy Formation With Local Photoionization Feedback



Rahul Kannan (MPIA) G. Stinson, R. Woods, A.V. Macciò, J. F. Hennawi, S. Cantalupo, S. Shen, J. Wadsley

Galaxy Formation Workshop Santa Cruz, 16 August 2013



Motivation

lonizing radiation sources

GASOLINE Implementation

GASOLINE test particle run

Cosmological simulation of MW type galaxy



Motivation

Ionizing radiation sources

GASOLINE Implementation

GASOLINE test particle run

Cosmological simulation of MW type galaxy



- Gas cools into DM halos and form stars.
- Gas cooling too efficient gas overcooling problem.
- Solution dump energy from stars, AGN etc into the gas (a few tweaks included).
 - Thermal Feedback Models (Stinson+2006,2013)
 - Kinetic Feedback Models (Vogelsberger+2013, Puchwein+2012, Davè+2008)
- Are we calculating gas cooling correctly?



Gas cooling in presence of radiation field

- Ionized gas cools more slowly
- Local sources produce ionizing radiation
- This will affect gas cooling in galaxies
 - Cantalupo 2010
 - Gnedin & Hollon 2012



Figure: Cooling curves for neutral (blue) and ionized gas(red) - Gnedin & Hollon 2012



Motivation

lonizing radiation sources

GASOLINE Implementation

GASOLINE test particle run

Cosmological simulation of MW type galaxy

lonizing radiation sources



• Photon Flux



 Haardt & Madau 2005 UV background

lonizing radiation sources



 Radiation from young O&B stars, convolved with the SED of shock heated gas from SNe (Cervino+2002)

 Haardt & Madau 2005 UV background Photon Flux



lonizing radiation sources



- Radiation from young O&B stars, convolved with the SED of shock heated gas from SNe (Cervino+2002)
- UV photons from Old stellar population due to accumulation of post-AGB stars (Bruzual & Charlot 2003)
- Haardt & Madau 2005 UV background

Photon Flux



Change in cooling



• $n_H = 0.01 cm^{-3}$, $Z = 0.1 Z_{\odot}$, Distance = 10 kpc



Change in cooling



- Reduction in cooling rate / Increase in cooling time of gas
- $n_H = 0.01 cm^{-3}$, $Z = 0.1 Z_{\odot}$, Distance = 10 kpc



Change in cooling



 Increase in the equilibirum temperature of the gas • $n_H = 0.01 cm^{-3}$, $Z = 0.1 Z_{\odot}$, Distance = 10 kpc







Motivation

Ionizing radiation sources

GASOLINE Implementation

GASOLINE test particle run

Cosmological simulation of MW type galaxy

Basic Assumptions



- The surrounding hot halo gas is optically thin
 - $\circ~$ 95 % of flux in Lyman Limit frequencies absorbed by birth coccoon of new stars
 - $\circ~$ Old stars are considered field stars and hence the escape fraction is unity

$$F_{\nu}(r) = \frac{F_{\nu}(r_1)r_1^2}{r^2}$$
(1)

0

Basic Assumptions



- The surrounding hot halo gas is optically thin
 - $\circ~$ 95 % of flux in Lyman Limit frequencies absorbed by birth coccoon of new stars
 - $^\circ\,$ Old stars are considered field stars and hence the escape fraction is unity $^\circ\,$

$$F_{\nu}(r) = \frac{F_{\nu}(r_1)r_1^2}{r^2}$$
(1)

Group radiation sources together

0

$$F_{\nu,tot} = F_{\nu,HM} + \frac{SFR \times F_{\nu,ns}}{r^2} + \frac{M_{os} \times F_{\nu,os}}{r^2}$$
(2)

• The distance r is directly taken form the tree calculation (for more information see Woods et al. in prep.)

Basic Assumptions



- The surrounding hot halo gas is optically thin
 - $\circ~$ 95 % of flux in Lyman Limit frequencies absorbed by birth coccoon of new stars
 - $^\circ\,$ Old stars are considered field stars and hence the escape fraction is unity $^\circ\,$

$$F_{\nu}(r) = \frac{F_{\nu}(r_1)r_1^2}{r^2}$$
(1)

Group radiation sources together

0

$$F_{\nu,tot} = F_{\nu,HM} + \frac{SFR \times F_{\nu,ns}}{r^2} + \frac{M_{os} \times F_{\nu,os}}{r^2}$$
(2)

- $\circ~$ The distance r is directly taken form the tree calculation (for more information see Woods et al. in prep.)
- Non equilibrium H,He + equilibrium metals (from Cloudy v10), ala Shen et al. 2010, Vogelsberger et al. 2013

0

$$\Lambda_{tot} = \Lambda_{H,He} + \frac{Z}{Z_{\odot}} \Lambda_{Z_{\odot}} + \Lambda_{Compton}$$
(3)



Motivation

lonizing radiation sources

GASOLINE Implementation

GASOLINE test particle run

Cosmological simulation of MW type galaxy

Test Particle Runs





Test Particle Runs







Motivation

Ionizing radiation sources

GASOLINE Implementation

GASOLINE test particle run

Cosmological simulation of MW type galaxy



- Halo mass $7\times 10^{11}~M_{\odot}$
- SNe Feedback + Early Stellar feedback Stinson+2013
- Gas particle mass $2 imes 10^5 \ {
 m M}_{\odot}$, Softening 310 pc
- Metal cooling
 - $\circ~$ Only UV background HM run
 - $\circ~$ UV background + local photoionizing radiation sources HM+LPF run



Star Formation History



Rotation Curves





Phase Space Diagrams





Figure: HM

Figure: HM+LPF

HI column density maps





Figure: HM+LPF

Figure: HM



Gas Accretion rate





Motivation

lonizing radiation sources

GASOLINE Implementation

GASOLINE test particle run

Cosmological simulation of MW type galaxy



• Novel new method introduced to include the effects of local photoionizing radiation field in cosmologocal simulations



- Novel new method introduced to include the effects of local photoionizing radiation field in cosmologocal simulations
- MW mass galaxy simulation shows
 - $\circ~$ 67% reduction in SFR at late times
 - $\circ~~$ 40% reduction in total stellar mass of galaxy
 - $\circ~$ Slowly rising rotation curves



- Novel new method introduced to include the effects of local photoionizing radiation field in cosmologocal simulations
- MW mass galaxy simulation shows
 - $\circ~$ 67% reduction in SFR at late times
 - $\circ~~$ 40% reduction in total stellar mass of galaxy
 - $\circ~$ Slowly rising rotation curves
- Two different effects of LPF as seen in phase diagram
 - Stops gas accretion onto the disk thereby reducing fuel for star formation Preventive feedback
 - Stops gas in the disk from getting cold stabilising the disk through pressure support



- Novel new method introduced to include the effects of local photoionizing radiation field in cosmologocal simulations
- MW mass galaxy simulation shows
 - $\circ~$ 67% reduction in SFR at late times
 - $\circ~~$ 40% reduction in total stellar mass of galaxy
 - $\circ~$ Slowly rising rotation curves
- Two different effects of LPF as seen in phase diagram
 - Stops gas accretion onto the disk thereby reducing fuel for star formation Preventive feedback
 - $\circ~$ Stops gas in the disk from getting cold stabilising the disk through pressure support
- Less gas accretion onto the disk due to
 - $\circ~$ Gas highly ionized due to local radiation field
 - $\circ~$ Decreases gas cooling rate and increases halo temperature

Take Home Message



Local ionizing radiation is quite important and must be considered in models of galaxy formation

