



MultiDark Multimessenger Approach for Dark Matter Detection

# The Measurement of the Expansion Rate of the Universe from γ-ray Attenuation\*

#### Alberto Domínguez (University of California, Riverside)

**Collaborators:** 

Justin Finke, Francisco Prada, Joel Primack, Brian Siana

\* partly on behalf of the Fermi collaboration (Domínguez et al. 2013, ApJ, 770, 77)

Galaxy Workshop @ UCSC, August 12-16, 2013

#### Local EBL: Data, y-ray Limits, and Models







$$\left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{obs} = \left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{int} \exp\left[ -\tau(E, z) \right]$$

The cosmic gamma-ray horizon (CGRH) is by definition

the energy E0 as a function of redshift at which the optical depth due to EBL is unity.

$$\left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{obs} = \left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{int} \exp\left[ -\tau(E, z) \right]$$

The cosmic gamma-ray horizon (CGRH) is by definition

the energy E0 as a function of redshift at which the optical depth due to EBL is unity.



$$\left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{obs} = \left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{int} \exp\left[ -\tau(E, z) \right]$$

The cosmic gamma-ray horizon (CGRH) is by definition

the energy E0 as a function of redshift at which the optical depth due to EBL is unity.



$$\left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{obs} = \left. \frac{dN}{dE} \right|_{int} \exp\left[ -\tau(E, z) \right]$$

The cosmic gamma-ray horizon (CGRH) is by definition

the energy E0 as a function of redshift at which the optical depth due to EBL is unity.



# **Sample and Synchrotron Self-Compton Models**



#### **SED Multiwavelength Fits**

A one-zone synchrotron/SSC model is fit to the multiwavelength data excluding the Cherenkov data, which are EBL attenuated. Then, this fit is extrapolated to the VHE regime representing the intrinsic VHE spectrum. Technique similar to Mankuzhiyil et al. 2010.



#### **Optical Depth Estimation and Determination of the CGRH**

Maximum likelihood technique with three EBL-model independent conditions:

- 1.- The optical depth is lower than 1 at E = 0.03 TeV.
- 2.- The optical depth is lower than the optical depth calculated from
- the EBL upper limits from Mazin & Raue, 07; especially  $1 < \tau < UL(z)$  at E = 30 TeV.
- 3.- The polynomial is monotonically increasing with the energy.



## **Cosmic** *γ***-ray Horizon: Results**



There are 4 out of 15 cases where our maximum likelihood methodology could not be applied since the prediction from the synchrotron/SSC model was lower than the detected flux by the Cherenkov telescopes.

Two other cases where the statistical uncertainties were too large to set any constraint on E0.

#### **Cosmic** *γ***-ray Horizon: Results**



There are 4 out of 15 cases where our maximum likelihood methodology could not be applied since the prediction from the synchrotron/SSC model was lower than the detected flux by the Cherenkov telescopes.

Two other cases where the statistical uncertainties were too large to set any constraint on E0.

#### **Cosmic y-ray Horizon: Cosmological Dependence**



#### **Cosmological Dependence: Assumed flat ACDM**



## **Cosmological Dependence: Assumed flat ACDM**



#### **Cosmological Dependence: Assumed flat ACDM**



## **The Hubble Constant from Different Methodologies**



# Cosmological Parameters: $\Omega_m$ and $\omega_0$



#### **Summary**

**1.-** The first statistically significant detection of the CGRH that is independent of any EBL model has been presented.

2. This detection is compatible with the recent EBL direct detection in the optical, galaxy counts, and upper limits from gamma-ray attenuation.
This constrains the contribution to the low redshift EBL from faint or high redshift galaxies that escape to current galaxy surveys and any other potential contribution.

3.- The detection of the CGRH allow us to derive the expansion rate of the Universe (the Hubble constant) from a novel technique using γ-ray attenuation, whose value is compatible with other rather mature techniques.

 $H_0 = 71.8^{+4.6}_{-5.6}^{+7.2}$  km/s/Mpc

4.- The cosmological parameters  $\Omega_m$  and w cannot be constrained with current data.

## **Cosmic** *γ***-ray Horizon: Results**



There are 4 out of 15 cases where our maximum likelihood methodology could not be applied since the prediction from the synchrotron/SSC model was lower than the detected flux by the Cherenkov telescopes.

Two other cases where the statistical uncertainties were too large to set any constraint on E0.

## **Cosmic** *γ***-ray Horizon: Results**



There are 4 out of 15 cases where our maximum likelihood methodology could not be applied since the prediction from the synchrotron/SSC model was lower than the detected flux by the Cherenkov telescopes.

Two other cases where the statistical uncertainties were too large to set any constraint on E0.