# **Compact Object Mergers**

### Eliot Quataert (UC Berkeley)



# Overview

- Diversity of Mergers & Outcomes
- WD-WD:
  - R Cor Bor \*s? Type Ia SNe? AIC of  $WD \rightarrow NS$ ?
- NS-NS, NS-BH
  - Gamma-ray Bursts & Gravitational Wave Astrophysics



# Stability of Mass Transfer

- Mass transfer begins when stellar  $R \sim R_L \equiv Roche Lobe$
- Subsequent evolution depends on how R\* & a of orbit change
  - stable mass transfer? ... or ... merger on ~ a dynamical time?
- If M<sub>tot</sub> & J<sub>tot</sub> conserved: (2 = star (1 = stat losing gaining
  - unstable transfer (merger!):  $M_2 \gtrsim M_1$
- GR: Close Binaries w/ Compact Objects:  $\frac{dJ_{tot}}{dt} = \dot{J}_{GW} < 0$ 
  - $\gamma = 5/3$  polytropes: unstable if  $M_2 \gtrsim (2/3) M_1$  but ... mass loss, direct impact, tides, ...

mass)

mass)

• NS-NS, BH-NS? unstable for plausible mass ratios

## Diversity of Mergers & Why We Care

### • WD-WD

- $M \ge M_{CH}$ : Type I a supernovae? AIC of WD  $\rightarrow$  NS?
- $M \leq M_{CH}$ : weird stars (e.g., R Cor Bor, extreme He \*)

### NS-NS & NS-BH

- most likely kHz gravitational wave source (LIGO,VIRGO)
- short duration gamma-ray bursts
- source of some n-rich heavy nuclei in nature (r-process)

### • WD-NS

• unusual GRB? unusual SNe? less well explored/constrained

## WD-WD Mergers: What do we Know Empirically?



SPY

Rates uncertain (~ la from pop synthesis); no several  $\sigma$  detection of system w M<sub>tot</sub> > M<sub>CH</sub>

# WD-WD Mergers: M ≥ M<sub>CH</sub>

(the story due to Ken Shen ....)

#### Remnant of WD-WD Merger



### Key Evolutionary Phases (C/OWDs)

I. Dynamical Disruption (~ min) (C ignition possible in some cases?)

If \*s survive merger ...

- 2. Viscous evolution of remnant (~hrs-year)
  - 3. Cooling of the remnant (~ $10^{4-5}$  yr)

Key Physics (pre-explosion): MHD, EOS, Opacity, ... Computational Challenge: Merger, then ~ Multi-D Stellar Structure

# WD-WD Mergers: M ≥ M<sub>CH</sub>

(the story due to Ken Shen ....)



#### Josiah Schwab

- 2. Viscous evolution (~hours-year) → spherical remnant w/ significant thermal support at large radii
  - 3. Cooling of the remnant (~10<sup>4-5</sup> yr): AIC or 1a?

## NS-NS Mergers: What do we Know Empirically?



3 known NS-NS binaries in our galaxy will merge in a Hubble time (no BH-NS systems known)

 $\dot{N}_{
m merge}\simeq 10^{-5}-3 imes 10^{-4}\,{
m yr^{-1}}~{
m per}\,{
m MW}\,{
m galaxy}$  (Kalogera et al. 2004)

# NS-NS & NS-BH Mergers

### **NS-NS** Merger



### Key Evolutionary Phases

- 1. Dynamical Disruption + Tidal Tails (~ ms)
- 2. Possible hypermassive NS; <-mom transport</li>
   (B-fields) → collapse to BH (~ 10s ms)
  - 3. Viscous evolution of disk (~ 0.1-1 sec)
    - 4. Disk 'Explosion' + Fallback ( $\geq$  sec)

GW Signal

EM Signal

Key Physics: GR, MHD, weak interactions, V transport, nuclear htg, ....

## The Evolution of the Remnant Disk

ang momentum conservation  $\rightarrow$  disk spreads (& cools)



ID time-dependent Models (α-viscosity; realistic EOS, v-microphysics)

$$\dot{M} \sim M_{\odot} \, s^{-1}$$

 $\tau_{\rm photons} \gg 1; \ \tau_{\nu} \sim 1$ 

→ only neutrino cooling impt

Accretion onto a Central BH



red = high density blue = low density

Hawley

multi-D MHD but no realistic physics for NS debris

## The Little Bang: Late-time Disk 'Explosion'

Initially T ~ few MeV; disk mostly free neutrons After ~ sec, R ~ 500 km & T  $\leq$  0.5 MeV free n & p recombine to He fusion (~ 7 Mev/nucl) unbinds disk



Ejected Mass ~ 1/2 Initial Disk ~  $10^{-2}$  M<sub>o</sub>, at v ~ 0.1 c Neutron-rich matter (Y<sub>e</sub> ~ 0.3)

## Late-Time Activity from Fall-back Accretion?



But at least partially suppressed by r-process heating in ejecta

# NS-NS & NS-BH Mergers

#### Short(ish)-Duration GRB



### Key Evolutionary Phases

- I. Dynamical Disruption + Tidal Tails (~ ms)
- 2. Possible hypermassive NS;  $\ast$ -mom transport Signal (B-fields)  $\rightarrow$  collapse to BH (  $\sim$  10s ms)

next

frontier

GW

EM

Signal

likely

detected

- 3. Viscous evolution of disk (~ 0.1-1 sec) (consistent w/ short GRB durations)
  - 4. Disk 'Explosion' + Fallback ( $\geq$  sec)

## ~ kHz GWs: a New Frontier in Compact Object Astrophysics

- Direct detection of GWs: unique insights into compact objects
  - masses, spins, orientation to line of sight, ...
  - no bias re. photons escaping to observer!
  - probes of nuclear physics, relativity, ....
- Critical to connect these GW detections to wealth of EM data on similar (same?) sources



LIGO reached design sensitivity in ~ 2006: h ~  $\Delta L/L$  ~ 10<sup>-21</sup> (no detections; as expected)

## ~ kHz GWs: a New Frontier in Compact Object Astrophysics

- Direct detection of GWs: unique insights into compact objects
  - masses, spins, orientation to line of sight, ...
  - no bias re. photons escaping to observer!
  - probes of nuclear physics, relativity, ....
- Critical to connect these GW detections to wealth of EM data on similar (same?) sources

Advanced LIGO & Virgo in ~ 2015 ~10x sensitivity  $\rightarrow 10^3$  x volume/rate

> worldwide effort: Geo600 (Germany), LCGT (Japan), LIGO Australia (??), ...



### NS-NS Mergers: What do we Know Empirically?



3 known NS-NS binaries in our galaxy will merge in a Hubble time (no BH-NS systems known)

 $\dot{N}_{\text{merge}} \simeq 10^{-5} - 3 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{per} \, \text{MW} \, \text{galaxy}$ 

 $m Advanced\,LIGO: \sim 20-10^3~yr^{-1}~\sim 100~yr^{-1}$  'reasonable' (Kalogera et al. 2004)

Advanced LIGO/VIRGO: NS-NS Mergers at ~ 200 Mpc BH-BH Mergers at ~ Gpc

## Most Promising Isotropic EM Counterpart

### Heating of NS Debris in Compact Object Mergers



~ 10<sup>-3</sup>-10<sup>-2</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> unbound during dynamical phases of merger & disk explosion (v~0.1c)

Initial thermal energy lost to adiabatic expansion

Luminosity of Unbound Ejecta Depends on Heating

Heating due to decay of n-rich nuclei created via r-process

emission peaks when t<sub>diff</sub> ≤ t<sub>exp</sub> t ~ I day for NS ejecta

## Most Promising Isotropic EM Counterpart

#### **R-process Powered Transient**





colors, etc. hard to predict bec. insufficient atomic line info for relevant nuclei!

# NS-NS & NS-BH Mergers: Computational Challenges

#### Astrophysical Observable

GWs: GR (M?)HD Sims of Merger & Collapse to BH; Realistic EOS; r-process htg to correctly model ejecta

GRB: GR MHD Sims of disk & jet; weak interactions; nuclear heating; V transport;

#### EM Counterpart to GW: 3D RT problem given ejecta mass, kinematics from merger & disk sims

#### Key Evolutionary Phases

- I. Dynamical Disruption + Tidal Tails (~ ms)
- 2. Possible hypermassive NS; ∢-mom transport
   (B-fields) → collapse to BH ( ~ 10s ms)
  - 3. Viscous evolution of disk (~ 0.1-1 sec)

EM Signal

GW

4. Disk 'Explosion' + Fallback ( $\geq$  sec)

## Diversity of Mergers & Why We Care

### • WD-WD

- $M \ge M_{CH}$ : Type I a supernovae? AIC of WD  $\rightarrow$  NS?
- $M \leq M_{CH}$ : weird stars (e.g., R Cor Bor, extreme He \*)

### NS-NS & NS-BH

- most likely kHz gravitational wave source (LIGO,VIRGO)
- short duration gamma-ray bursts
- source of some n-rich heavy nuclei in nature (r-process)

### • WD-NS

• unusual GRB? unusual SNe? less well explored/constrained