# Clumps in z~2 Galaxies

Mark Mozena

Galaxy Workshop Santa Cruz, August 2012

## Visual Morphologies

Classifications are being done on all galaxies with  $H_{mag} < 24.5$  (dimmer galaxies were shown to have less reliable fits in GALFIT, G-M20 and CAS) in the CANDELS fields (starting with GOODS-S) - ~45,000 galaxies in CANDELS

Classification is based primarily on H-band

This sample is GOODS-S (ERS + Deep2 + Wide2)

~6000 galaxies visually classified in GOODS-S (~1200 with redshift = 1.5-2.5)



#### **MORPHOLOGY CLASS (choose one or more):**

Dominant Morphology (based on H-band image)



#### FLAGS:

Flags based on entire cutout

#### **Quality Flags**

Bad Deblend

(includes over and under deblended objects in segmap)

□Image Quality Problem

(includes: nearby bright object, near edge, diffraction spikes)

Uncertain

(Image quality is fine but classification is uncertain)

#### **K**-Correction

V-band Different Morphological Classification
 z-band Different Morphological Classification
 J-band Different Morphological Classificiation

#### Structure Flags

 Tidal Arms
 Double Nuclei (in Hband)
 Asymmetric (in Hband)
 Spiral Arms/Arc/Ring
 Bar
 Pt Source Contamination (galaxy with contaminant) Edge-on Disk
Face-on Disk
Tadpole (2:1)
Chain (3:1 with clumps)
Disk Dominated (in Hband)
Bulge Dominated (in Hband)

Comments:

#### **INTERACTION CLASS (choose one, if applicable):**

Classification based on H-band image

				○None
OMerger (Train Wreck)	OInteraction WITHIN segmap (2+ distinct gals with distortions)	OInteraction BEYOND segmap (2+ distinct gals with distortions)	<ul> <li>Non-interacting Companion (WITHIN or BEYOND segmap) close nearby neighbor(s) but morph undisturbed</li> </ul>	7

#### CLUMPS (choose one or more):

Classification of dominant target galaxy (based strongly on V-band)



## Quantifying Visual Morphologies

Each galaxy was classified by 3-5 different people.

Collapsed the classifications into various metrics from 0-1 for all the classifiers

Spheroidicity - 0=disk I=spheroid (received value of 0.5 if both were checked)

Irregularity - 0=not irregular I=irregular

Asymmetry - 0=symmetric I=asymmetric

Clumpiness - 0=not clumpy/patchy (ie smooth) I=maximum clumpiness/patchiness (3+ clumps/patches)

Interaction - 0=no companion/interaction 0.25=non-interacting companion 0.5 interaction beyond segmentation map 0.75=interaction within the segmentation map I=merger/train wreck

Have structural morphologies (radius, sersic, axis ratio, etc from GALAPAGOS) and masses and rest colors from TFIT + SED fitting

## **Clump Fraction**

Redshift Range = 1.5 - 2.51.0 ¥ 0 < Clumpiness < 0.15</p> # 0,15 < Clumpiness < 0.35</p> # 0.35 < Clumpiness < 1.0</p> 0.8 GOODS-S Observations Star Forming Galaxies (Blue and Dusty) fraction of galaxies 0.6 ж ж 0.4 \* \* \* \* ж ¥ Ж ж 0.2 0.0 9.5 10.0 10.5 11.0 Stellar Mass

Simulations are from Nir measuring gas clump fraction of both in-situ and ex-situ clumps in the Hydro-Art Simulations with masses >1% or 0.1% of disk mass

Simulations in general are less clumpy than observations - particularly at masses below IeI0. Better fits at masses > 2eI0

Yicheng presented on Monday 10/15 UDF galaxies (log (Mstar) = 10-11) at z~2 are clumpy



## Color-Mass

At given color, clumpy galaxies are more massive with the clumpiest galaxies being the most massive.

Clumpy galaxies are blue with no strong degree of clumpiness trend with color.



## Color-Color

Clumpy galaxies are in the blue/SF cloud (dusty and non-dusty regions).

Clumpiest galaxies are not in the dustiest/reddest region.



## Color-Color

Clumpy galaxies are in the blue/SF cloud (dusty and non-dusty regions).

Clumpiest galaxies are not in the dustiest/reddest region.



## Size-Mass

Clumpy galaxies are larger radii systems with clumpiest galaxies being at the largest radii.

-clumps could pull sersic radii higher

Do not see clumps in small radii systems - would see them to a SMA ~ Ikpc if they were there



### Size - Axis Ratio

Clumpy galaxies are larger radii systems but do not exhibit a strong axis ratio relation.

-not preferentially found in face on disks or edge on chains



### Additional Work

Working with Chris Moody, Joel Primack, Priya Kollipara, Avishai Dekel, and Daniel Ceverino on latest hydro-art simulations

will run these simulations through 'CANDELization' process and then through the same pipeline to compare them to actual galaxies



z~2.33 log(stellar mass) = 11.04

# Sausage Populations of Star Forming Galaxies at z~2

Spheroids are expected to have high axis ratios and the compact spheroids will have the small radii as well

Disks (opaque) would have full range of axis ratio at given size

Sausages (triaxial) expect axis ratio and size to depend on viewing angle - thin disks may look similar



Sample complete to Stellar Mass ~ 9.4 M\_sun

See a ridgeline relation between axis ratio and size



Ridgeline is present

There is also a sample of galaxies in the general spread of axis ratio at a given size



Ridgeline is still present but less clear

Possible transition is occurring to a more disk dominated population at higher masses



Higher mass galaxies have lost the ridgeline and looks much more like a disk population



Higher mass galaxies have lost the ridgeline and looks much more like a disk population



Low mass sample is incomplete but suggestion of a ridgeline is present in this low mass bin but there is a large axis ratio scatter



### Star Forming Sample (blue and dusty) Conclusions

As we divide starforming galaxies by mass - we see a possible transition from a population with large numbers of prolate (sausage) systems at low mass to a population more dominated by oblate (disk) galaxies at higher mass



#### Quiescent Sample



## Sersic-Mass

Clump-free systems have sersic > I

Clumpy galaxies are distributed from low to moderate sersic (n=4) at low and moderate masses



## Quantifying Visual Morphologies

#### 000

X Redshift Range = 1.50000 - 2.50000

