# The (Non?) Universality of the IMF

Mark Krumholz (UC Santa Cruz)

UCSC Galaxy Workshop, August 13, 2012

### Overview

- A crash course in IMF models
  - The isothermal conundrum and two solutions
- The IMF from Non-Isothermal Fragmentation
- Implications

# Isothermal Fragmentation

Gas clouds fragment due to Jeans instability

 $\approx 0.34 M_{\odot} \left( \left( \frac{T}{100 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/22} \left( \left( \frac{m}{100^{35} \text{ cm}^{-33}} \right)^{-11/22} \right)^{-11/22}$ • Problem: GMCs have T ~ constant, but n varies a lot

 $M_J \approx \sqrt{\frac{c_s^3}{G^3 \rho}}$ 

### Isothermal Gas is Scale Free

 $\mathcal{M} = \frac{\sigma}{c_s} \propto \sigma \qquad \qquad \mathcal{M}_A = \mathcal{M}\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  $\beta = \frac{8\pi\rho c_s^2}{B^2} \propto \rho B^{-2} \qquad \qquad \mu_\Phi = \frac{M}{M_\Phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\beta}{2}} n_J^{1/3}$  $n_J = \frac{\rho L^3}{c_s^3/\sqrt{G^3\rho}} \propto \rho^{3/2} L^3 \qquad \qquad n_{J,\text{turb}} = \frac{n_J}{\mathcal{M}^3}$  $\alpha_{\text{vir}} = \frac{5\sigma^2 L}{2GM} = \frac{5}{6\pi} \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{n_J}\right)^2$ 

All dimensionless numbers invariant under  $\rho \rightarrow x\rho$ ,  $L \rightarrow x^{-1/2}L$ ,  $B \rightarrow x^{1/2}B$ , but  $M \rightarrow x^{-1/2}M$ Non-isothermality required to explain IMF peak!

### **Option 1: Galactic Properties**

- GMCs embedded in a galaxy-scale nonisothermal medium
- Set IMF peak from Jeans mass at mean density (e.g. Padoan & Nordlund 2002, Hopkins 2012, Narayanan & Dave 2012)
- ... or from linewidth-size relation (e.g. Hennebelle & Chabrier 2008, 2009; Hopkins 2012)

# Problem 1: MW Cluster IMFs



# Problem 2: Choice of Scale



Map of the Perseus molecular cloud (Heiderman+ 2010)

Linewidth-size relation low and high mass star-forming regions (Shirley+ 2003)



# Problem 3: Simulations





Left: fragmentation in an isothermal simulation (Martel+ 2006) Right: IMF at 3 different resolutions for isothermal simulations

# Option 2: Small Scale Non-Isothermality

- Fragmentation by small-scale nonisothermality

   (e.g. Larson 2005, Jappsen+2005, Elmegreen+ 2008)
- Most important source: stellar accretion luminosity



Temperature vs. radius before (red) and after (blue) star formation begins in a 50  $M_{\odot}$ , 1 g cm<sup>-2</sup> core (Krumholz 2006)

# Setting the IMF Peak



$$P \approx GM^2/R^4$$
$$T = \left(\frac{3^{2/3}L}{\pi^{1/3}(\rho M)^{2/3}\sigma_{\rm SB}}\right)^{1/4}$$
$$L = \epsilon_L \epsilon_M \sqrt{2G\rho} M \sqrt{\frac{GM_*}{R_*}}$$
$$M_{\rm BE} = 1.18 \sqrt{\left(\frac{k_B T}{\mu m_{\rm H} G}\right)^3 \frac{1}{\rho}}$$

#### Mass-Radius Relation and the IMF

- Accreting stars burn D: D + 2 H  $\rightarrow$  He
- Burning keeps T<sub>core</sub> ~ 10<sup>6</sup> K; calculable from fundamental constants

• Fixed 
$$T_{core} \rightarrow fixed M_*/R_*$$
  
• No metall  $\Theta_c \approx 12.4$   $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$   
 $M_* = 0.4m_H \Theta_c^{-4/3} (\alpha_G = Gm_H^2/\hbar c)^8 (P_{PI} = c^7/\hbar G^2)^{18}$   
 $= 0.15 \left( \frac{P/k_B}{10^6 \text{ K cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/18} M_{\odot}$ 

# Checking this Story



### Check Metallicity Independence



Simulations with varying metallicity show very little change in fragmentation, as long as the gas remains optically thick

(Myers+ 2011)

# Simple Collapsing Cluster Simulation

(Krumholz+ 2011)



Temperature

1000  $M_{\odot}$  cloud (roughly the size of the ONC), isolated, no protostellar outflows

### Doesn't Work!



# Why it Fails



# A More Realistic Simulation

(Krumholz+ 2012)



Cloud embedded in a larger, turbulent medium; simulation includes protostellar outflows



### Why it Works



# Implications



### Possible Explanation for Ellipticals?



Giant elliptical galaxies have high pressure, high metallicity; NB: σ is a (rough) proxy for pressure

van Dokkum & Conroy (2010)

### Summary

- IMF set by the thermodynamics of fragmenting gas on small scales, not galaxy scales
- The invariance of the peak comes from stellar feedback + fundamental physics
- Weak variation from radiation-matter coupling: peak moves to slightly lower mass at high P, Z.
   Simulations to test this are underway.