Correlations of DM halo properties: Building the Hyades Suite

Jose Oñorbe

Fulbright Postdoctoral Fellow @ University of California Irvine (jonnorbeb@uci.edu)

In collaboration with S. Garrison-Kimmel (UCI), J. Bullock (UCI), A. Maller (CUNY), M. Rocha (UCI) and G. Bryan (CU).

> August 11, 2011 2011 Santa Cruz Galaxy Workshop

Hyades Simulations Suite

- Zoom-in simulations of galaxy halos spanning $M_{vir} = 0.05 5E12M_{\odot}$
- Identical initial conditions (MUSIC, Hahn & Abel 2011) with multiple codes: ENZO, GADGET, GASOLINE
- Ultimate goal: How robust are halo (& galaxy) properties from code to code?

First step: Obtain a reliable and representative sample of halos

Hyades Simulations Suite



Hyades Simulations Suite



Use $L_{box} = 50 Mpc/h$, $N_p = 512^3$, $\epsilon = 1 kpc/h$ sim to explore how halo properties depend on the vol_{hz}

Dark Matter Halo Properties

 M_{vir} , V_{max} , R_{max} , shape, λ , N_{neig} , a_{form}^{50} , $N_{mergers}$, subhalo, vol_{hz} $(1 \times r_{vir})$,...

Cosmology: ACDM WMAP7

Sample: Halos with $N_{part} > 500$

• Which halo properties contain more information? (Skibba & Maccio

2011, Jeeson-Daniel et al. 2011)

- How can we build a reliable sample with the lowest number of halos?
- How selective can we be with vol_{hz}?



- How is correlated *vol_{hz}* for a fixed mass?
- For some specific halo properties can we choose a low vol_{hz}?

 $\log M_{vir} vs \log vol_{hz}$: 0.326





- How is correlated *vol_{hz}* for a fixed mass?
- For some specific halo properties can we choose a low vol_{hz}?

 $\log M_{vir} vs \log vol_{hz}$: 0.326



Selecting a Milky Way Halo: How selective can we be?



Correlations of DM halo properties: the Hyades Suite

- Using MUSIC (Hahn & Abel 2011)
- Set of dark matter zoom-in simulations. $m_p = 6.88E7 - 1.67E4 \ M_{\odot}/h$

Comparison between Dark-Matter full box and zoom-in runs

- Initial high-res volume: no contamination and stability of halo parameters.
- Mass and spatial resolution tests.
- Lower resolution levels sizes and resolution.
- Code differences.

Katz & White 1993, Klypin et al. 2001





 $\bigcirc \sim 5E12M_{\odot}$ $\bigcirc \sim 1E12M_{\odot}$ $\bigtriangleup \sim 5E11M_{\odot}$ Only runs with no contamination

 V_{max} and most halo properties are very stable from run to run and as a function of initial volume.

Some problems with ENZO because the halo moves within grid...



Conclusions: Preliminary results

- For a fixed halo mass, there is no strong bias with the initial volume (good news for zoom simulations)
- Zoom volume need to be at least $\sim 2 \times r_{vir}$ for no contamination within r_{vir}
- Halo properties are stable for non-contaminated zooms, except for spin parameter.
- Enzo approach needs higher initial volume and more detailed information on halo history.

Thank you!

Hyades: Daughters of Atlas, nurses of Dionysus. A sisterhood of nymphs that bring rain



Ambrosia Coronis Phyto Erudora Cardie Niseis Phaesyle Cleeia Pedile Phyto Polyxo Synecho Niseis Phaeo

Would you like to try any Hyades nymph with your code?

Please contact us!!!

(jonorbeb@uci.edu)

Some Important Parameters

WMAP 7 Cosmology.
Model:
$$lcdm + sz + lens$$

 $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.734, \ \Omega_m = 0.266, \ \Omega_b = 0.0449, \ h = 0.71, \ \sigma_8 = 0.801, \ n_s = 0.963$

Full Box Parameters

Full Box Halo Sample

 $N_{part} > 500
ightarrow M > 3.4 imes 10^{10} M_{\odot}/h$

"MW" Mass Bin Halo Sample

 $1 imes 10^{12} M_{\odot} < M_{halo} < 3 imes 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ No subhalos

Other Figures: Full Sample



< • • • **•**

글 🛌 글 글

Other Figures: MW bin



• • • • • • • • •

글 🖌 🖌 글 🛌

三日 のへの